

The Curse of Geography: How Governments Preempt Secession Attempts

Rob Williams





Research Question

Puzzle:

• Why is there no relationship between the governability of territory and rebel goal?

Answer:

• Governments anticipate secession attempts in most suitable areas and invest to prevent them

Motivation

- Collected original dataset of goals for all ethnic territorial rebel groups 1990-2013
- Used geospatial data on population to measure governability of territory
- No relationship between governability and goal

Theory

- Different territories are more or less governable as a function of the resources they contain
- Territories far from the reach of the state are more governable
- Population is on one of the most important resources for governance
- Marginalized ethnic groups that control governable territory will be more likely to fight for secession
- Geography is relatively static and governments use their knowledge of human geography to preempt conflict in areas most suited to secession
- This preemption can be measured globally using nighttime light emissions as they correlate with local measures of state capacity[1]


Hypothesis: The effect of territorial governability on nightlights should be positive and increasing in distance from the capital


Data


- GeoEPR & EPR
- GPWv4 Population
- NOAA Nightlights
- V-Dem & WDI

Illustration











Model



Out of Sample Validation



MSE of models on a 1/3 held out validation set

Discussion

- Governments seem to invest more in areas which are both populous and remote
- Nightlights correlated with both military infrastructure and public goods
- Weakly positive effect of lost autonomy suggests states devote attention to secession prone areas[2]

Next Steps

- Medium N study of internal colonialism e.g. Aceh, Northeastern Sri Lanka, Ireland
- Historical study of forced relocations e.g. Soviet deportations, Armenian genocide

Email: jrw@live.unc.edu
Web: jrw.web.unc.edu

References

[1] Ore Koren and Anoop K. Sarbahi.
State Capacity, Insurgency, and Civil War: A Disaggregated Analysis.

International Studies Quarterly, Forthcoming.

[2] David S. Siroky and John Cuffe.
 Lost Autonomy, Nationalism and Separatism.
 Comparative Political Studies, 48(1):3–34, January 2015.